



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/505,915	02/17/2000	Ronald A. Katz	245/248(6046-101D8)	7611
7	590 01/29/2002		-	
RONALD A. KATZ TECHNOLOGY LICENSING, L.P.			EXAMINER	
9220 Sunset Blvd., Suite 315 Los Angeles, CA 90069			WOO, STELLA L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 01/29/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(L)

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/505,915

Applicant(s)

Katz

Examiner

Stella Woo

Art Unit 2643

The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat</li> </ul>	₹ 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed ion.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a	reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above.	riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta	atute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
<ul> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	nailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 2</u>	2002
,	action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under	e except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is x parte Quayle35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>17-40 and 42-205</u>	is/are pending in the applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considera
5)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>17-40 and 42-205</u>	is/are rejected.
7)	is/are objected to.
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) 🗌 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign j	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents ha</li> </ol>	ive been received.
·	eve been received in Application No.
application from the International Bure	
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20)  Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/505,915

Art Unit: 2643

A.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. The request filed on January 2, 2002 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/505,915 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 17-40, 42-205 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shavit et al. (USPN 4,799,156, hereinafter "Shavit") in view of Smith (USPN 5,450,123), and further in view of Filepp et al. (USPN 5,347,632, hereinafter "Filepp").

Shavit discloses an electronic commercial transaction system (interactive market management system) for selectively enabling communication between members of plural groups (buyers 82, suppliers 84, distributors 83, etc.), comprising:

an interface (communication interface 79; col. 5, lines 43-47);

an input system (personal computers 62, 64; col. 5, lines 20-24, 28-32);

a memory (database stores subscriber data and request data which designates the area of commercial interest; col. 7, lines 23-46; col. 25, lines 28-50);

a control system (system 50 notifies users via electronic mail of bids, promotions, etc.; col. 11, lines 47+; col. 17, line 52 - col. 18, line 51).

Application/Control Number: 09/505,915

Art Unit: 2643

Shavit provides for audio indication (col. 7, lines 50-57) or facsimile notification (col. 14, lines 20-22), the placement of orders in response (col. 19, lines 19-34) and inventory access (col. 17, lines 56-61).

Shavit differs from the claims in that although it provides for allowing subsciber access to a variety of data base services (col. 5, lines 58-65; col. 7, lines 6-46), it does not specify communication video. However, Smith teaches the desirability of allowing buyer access to a vendor supplied video image stored in a video file server (video source and database 6) for enhancing sales communication with the use of video (col. 1, line 51 - col. 3, line 27) such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to incorporate such use of video, as taught by Smith, within the method of Shavit in order to allow a buyer to view the desired goods or services. Smith provides for prompts to view an available video (col. 2, lines 53-56; col. 4, lines 45-50) and providing client specific video (col. 5, lines 48+).

The combination of Shavit and Smith further differs from the claims in that although Smith provides for supplying customized information (col. 5, lines 48+), it does not specify storing in memory identification data of an interested buyer in association with a designated area of commercial interest. However, Filepp teaches the desirability of storing user data in association with a designated area of commercial interest in order to provide targeted advertisements according to collected parameters (col. 9, lines 27-47) such that it would have been obvious to incorporate such customization of advertisements, as taught by Filepp, within the combination of

Art Unit: 2643

Shavit and Smith so that potential buyers receive targeted promotional e-mail messages regarding products that would more likely interest the particular buyer.

4. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stella Woo whose telephone number is (703) 305-4395. Any general inquiries should be directed to the Customer Service Office at (703) 306-0377.

January 25, 2002

STELLA WOO
PRIMARY EXAMINER